

REMARKS

In the Office Action dated March 22, 2006, the Examiner rejected claims 1-4, 9, 14, 16-19, 30, 32, 33, and under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by *Rohm et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,396,154). The Examiner further rejected claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Rohm* in view of *Winer et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 6,525,922). Claim 17 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Rohm*. The Examiner indicated that claims 15, 34, and 35 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the §112 rejections.

The Examiner indicated that claims 15, 34, and 35 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the §112, second paragraph rejections. However, Applicants do not see any §112, second paragraph rejections pointed out in the Office Action. Applicants therefore request a supplemental Office Action indicating the §112, second paragraph rejections. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at 312-913-3334.

Respectfully submitted,

McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP

Date: July 20, 2006

By:


Jon R. Schiffman
Registration No. 57,628

McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP
300 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone No. 312-913-0001
Facsimile No. 312-913-0002